

fragments of thymosin in combination with an anti-hepatitis C viral effective amount of at least one α -interferon, said pharmaceutical dosage unit being capable of promoting *in vivo* inactivation of hepatitis C virus when administered to mammals infected with said virus (as amended).

The present invention is improved over previous compositions because it combines (1) an anti hepatitis C viral amount α -interferon with (2) thymosin in a pharmaceutical dosage unit that promotes *in vivo* inactivation of hepatitis C.

Huang et al. discloses a pharmaceutical composition of interferon and thymosin. There is no disclosure of a combination of " α -interferon" and thymosin. Huang et al. also does not disclose in its composition an "anti-hepatitis C viral effective amount of at least one α -interferon, said pharmaceutical dosage unit being capable of promoting *in vivo* inactivation of hepatitis C virus when administered to mammals infected with said virus." Hence, Huang et al. does not anticipate claims 7, 8, 12-14, 16, 17 and 21 because it does not disclose these important claimed features.

Claims 10, 11, 15, 19, 20 and 22-24 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly obvious over Huang et al, in view of Hoofnagle et al., Goldstein, et al. and Birr et al. Applicant respectfully traverses this rejection.

As stated above, the present invention, as claimed in claims 10, 11, 15, 19, 20 and 22-24 is directed to a composition having in it a combination of (1) α -interferon and (2) thymosin.

Huang et al. is directed to a composition for treating Hepatitis B rather than C. The Examiner makes a very valid point that it does not matter what a composition claim is used for. However, in the case at hand, the rejected claims call for a particular dosage unit of the composition that is not disclosed or suggest by Huang et al. The dosage unit specified in the claims at issue is "an anti-Hepatitis C viral effective amount of at least one α -interferon, said pharmaceutical dosage unit being capable of promoting *in vivo* inactivation of hepatitis C virus." Further, as stated above, Huang et al. also fails to teach the use of α -interferon.

Hoofnagle et al. discloses a composition containing α -interferon. There is no mention of the combination of α -interferon with thymosin. There is also no suggestion

of what the proper dosage unit would be or what parameters would be useful to achieve the proper dosage unit for a hepatitis C vaccine containing α -interferon and thymosin.

It would not have been obvious to combine Hoofnagle et al. with Huang et al. because Hoofnagle does not provide the missing disclosure of Huang et al. In order for Hoofnagle to be a proper reference for combination with Huang et al., it would have to suggest the amounts of thymosin and α -interferon used in combination in a single pharmaceutical composition. It does not.

Goldstein et al. discloses that thymosin alpha 1 is immunopotentiating. There is no discussion of using thymosin of any type in combination with α -interferon or what amount constitutes an anti-hepatitis C viral amount or what dosage unit is capable of promoting *in vivo* inactivation of hepatitis C (as set forth in the claims). Hence, Goldstein, et al. also does not make up for the deficiencies of Huang et al. or add anything to Hoofnagle et al. which would have led one of ordinary skill in the art to the claimed invention.

Birr et al. is directed to a method of preparing thymosin α 1. Birr et al. suggests using thymosin α 1 for treating cancer. There is no discussion combining thymosin with interferon in a single formulation or what amounts to use to inactivate hepatitis C. Thus, Birr et al. does not make up for the deficiencies of Huang et al., Hoofnagle et al. or Goldstein et al. and would not have led one of ordinary skill in the art to the present invention.

Further, there is no suggestion in any of the references that a pharmaceutical formulation for hepatitis "B" would work for hepatitis "C." Without this suggestion, there is simply no motivation to combine Hoofnagle et al., Goldstein et al. or Birr et al. with the primary Huang et al. reference cited by the Examiner. Since none of the references, whether taken alone or in combination, indicate how to modify Huang et al. to arrive at a formulation that meets the language in the claims, one of ordinary skill in the art would not have been led to the present invention.

To date, no one has devised a formulation that combines α -interferon and thymosin in a dosage unit that is capable of promoting *in vivo* inactivation of hepatitis C virus or shown that dosage units for hepatitis C are or should be the same as hepatitis B. Hence, this rejection is believed overcome.

This Page Blank (uspto)

RECEIVED

Versions with markings to show changes made.

JUL 08 2002

IN THE CLAIMS

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Please amend the following claims:



7. (Twice Amended) A composition comprising a pharmaceutical dosage unit of a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier containing an immune system-potentiating amount of at least one member selected from the group consisting of thymosin and immune system-potentiating fragments of thymosin in combination with an anti-hepatitis C viral effective amount of at least one α -interferon, said pharmaceutical dosage unit being capable of promoting *in vivo* inactivation of hepatitis C virus when administered to mammals infected with said virus.

10. (Twice Amended) The [method] composition of claim 7, wherein said α -interferon is interferon α -2b.

11. (Twice Amended) The [method] composition of claim 10, wherein said interferon is recombinant interferon.

16. (Twice Amended) An anti-hepatitis C formulation comprising an immune system-potentiating amount of at least one thymosin or an immune system-potentiating thymosin fragment in combination with an anti-hepatitis C viral effective amount of at least one α -interferon in a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier, for use in the treatment of a mammal infected with hepatitis C virus.

22. (Twice Amended) The formulation of Claim 16, wherein said anti-hepatitis C viral effective amount of said α -interferon is from about 1 million to about 3 million units of said α -interferon.

The application is now believed to be in condition for allowance.

Reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested.

Serial No.: 09/544,108

Date: June 24, 2002

Respectfully submitted,

Caroline M. Nash
Reg. No. 36,329

Nash & Titus, LLC
3415 Brookeville Road
Suite 1000
Brookeville, MD 20833
(301) 924-9500 or (301) 924-9600
for: Elizabeth Arwine, Reg. No. 45,867
U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
Fort Detrick, MD 21702-9223